EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



John E. Benedict Senior Attorney

August 23, 2004

Federal Regulatory Affairs Voice 202 585 1910 401 9th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

AUG 2 3 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Not

Notice of Ex Parte Communication

Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 CC Docket No. 96-128

Dear Ms. Dortch;

On August 20, 2004, I spoke by telephone with Jessica Rosenworcel, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, about Sprint's petition for reconsideration, pending in this docket. The petition asks that a corporate officer be allowed to certify payphone compensation data, rather than limiting that role solely to a carrier's chief financial officer.

I explained that, for a smaller carrier, a CFO might be appropriate to certify payphone compensation data. At a large carrier, particularly one like Sprint that has only a single CFO for its entire corporate organization, it makes no sense to limit this role to the CFO. A CFO is already heavily burdened with existing duties and is far removed from routine payphone issues. Allowing any officer to certify would provide the very same protections, while lifting a needless burden from the CFO and removing a significant administrative headache from the carrier.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, Sprint is filing an electronic copy of this notice for addition to the docket.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Benedict

cc:

Scott Bergmann
Matthew Brill
Daniel Gonzalez
Christopher Libertelli
Jessica Rosenworcel
Jeffrey Carlisle
Denise Coca
Tony Dale
William Dever
Darryl Cooper